

Does unauthorised digital distribution of comic books displace sales? Evidence from a survey of comics readers

Wojciech Hardy

• Most studies on `piracy' about music, film, movies (few on books)

- Effects vary across types of goods and time
- Gaps in research for comics, video games, board games, 3D, etc.

- A significant share of comics revenue is digital
- And physical revenues are growing



Avengers: Infinity War (2018) poster

bly made more mainstream by

#1 Box Office opening weekend of all time

• Comics were possibly made more mainstream by movies

- And physical revenues are growing
- A significant share of comics revenue is digital





Source: Ronn Roxx blog (ronnroxx.blogspot.com)

- A significant share of comics revenue is digital
- And physical revenues are growing
- Comics were possibly made more mainstream by movies
- Unique combination: short, episodic, originally in paper



- A significant share of comics revenue is digital
- And physical revenues are growing
- Comics were possibly made more mainstream by movies
- Unique combination: short, episodic, originally in paper
- The access to free copies is extremely easy









• 1) Estimate the displacement rate between unpaid and paid comics

2) Do incentives to use a legal service affect further choices?
– an experimental approach.

- 1st wave, **432** responders mostly from Reddit (420)
- 2nd and 3rd waves, e-mail invitations
- 228 in at least two rounds, 157 responders in all three
- Median age: 25-34 (but 24% at least 35 years old)
- 90% male
- 69% USA, 19% from other English-speaking countries
- Other info used in the study: frequency of comics reading, interest in comics, comics readership, comics valuation (in one wave), some additional info.

. . .

In each wave:

- Info on readership of 50 top-selling comics issues from previous month
- Channels: paid print, paid digital, unpaid digital, subscription, borrowed
- 150 to comics in total from 46 comics series, 93% Marvel/DC
 - 9 series in one month, 14 in two, 23 in three

Correlations between the purchases in the sample and the market sales		
Survey round 1 - February	0.77	
Survey round 2 - March	0.70	
Survey round 3 - April	0.63	
Total	0.59	

Consumption of 150 top comics from Jan-Mar 2018



'Unpaid' consumption hurts physical sales but not digital

Regressions on a reduced sample (only responders and comics series in three rounds)

	OLS regressions		Panel regressions with FE		
	(1) Print	(2) Digital	(3) Print	(4) Digital	
	purchases	purchases	purchases	purchases	
Unpaid reads	-0.32* (0.06)	-0.03 (0.05)	-0.40* (0.14)	-0.15 (0.10)	
Frequency of reading	Inclu	dod	Fixed effects		
Interest in comics		ueu	FIXEU EITECIS		
Other controls	Age, gender, survey rounds		Survey rounds		
Observations	468				
Responders	156				
R-squared	0.24	0.07	0.20	0.02	

Robust standard errors in parentheses. * p<0.01.

. . .

Panel: comic series x issues. Regressions on comic sales on restricted sample.

	(1) Print	(2) Digital	
	purchases	purchases	
Unpaid reads	-0.32** (0.16)	-0.02 (0.10)	
Controls	Issue, survey rounds, sales, price + Fixed Effects		
Observations	108		
Comics series	22		
R-squared	0.10	0.13	
Robust standard errors in parentheses. ** p<0.05.			

Treatment = digital comics prizes from a top service . I:

Two types:

- 1) 10€ sets of digital comics of own choice (40 in Feb, 50 in Mar, 90 in Apr)
- 2) A comic issue not previously read (50 in Mar)

Procedure for type 1:

- a) E-mails sent about the prizes
- b) Winners go to ComiXology, browse the catalogue, check prices, construct a bundle, send it to me via e-mail
- c) They get the bundle as a gift purchase
- d) They have to register (if they weren't) to redeem it.

Should overcome: uncertainty, learning, setup costs.

Treatment = digital comics prizes from a top service . I:

Two types:

- 1) 10€ sets of digital comics of own choice (40 in Feb, 50 in Mar, 90 in Apr)
- 2) A comic issue not previously read (50 in Mar)

Procedure for type 2:

- a) For each winner I check comics not marked as read
- b) When possible, only from the sample with valuations and issue #1s
- c) I pick one and buy it as a gift

Question: did they read the next issues of the series?

The prizes changed NOTHING

- No change in unpaid consumption
- No change in paid consumption (physical or digital)
- No difference in valuations of digital versions
- No change in probability of buying the follow-up

Does not help to:

- Use (non-)parametric tests of equality, fixed effects, seemingly unrelated regressions
- Control for / interact with whether ComiXology ever used before the survey
- Only look at acquisition of #1 issues
- Control for / interact with whether ever read without paying
- Control for / interact with the valuation of the assigned prizes

Not much format switching within-series





Note: flows from "none" excluded for clarity.

Possible reason 2: the pirated comics were low-valued

	Value to price ratio		Value > Price	N
	Mean	Median	value > Price	IN
Not acquired	0.65	0.58	21%	1701
All acquired	0.82	0.75	38%	289
Physical	0.71	0.75	25%	159
Digital	1	1	62%	68
Unpaid	0.67	0.6	27%	41
Borrowed	1.3	1.3	57%	7
Subscription	1	1	74%	23

Print readers also attach a low value to the digital

	Value to price ratio		Value > Price	Ν
	Mean	Median	value > Price	IN
Not acquired	0.65	0.58	21%	1701
All acquired	0.82	0.75	38%	289
Physical	0.71	0.75	25%	159
Digital	1	1	62%	68
Unpaid	0.67	0.6	27%	41
Borrowed	1.3	1.3	57%	7
Subscription	1	1	74%	23

• Piracy displaces print sales in my sample (not digital)

- Very 'sticky' channels
- Piracy is a go-to channel for low-valued content
- Difficult to convert the pirates to buyers instead
- Limitations: subscription, graphic novels, trade paperbacks (collections), non-major titles



Piracy is problematic for comic book industry





Thank you! Wojciech Hardy

IBS project 2017-2020: Funded by National Science Centre. "There but not back again. A Pirate's tale. Switching between the authorised and unauthorised source of cultural goods"

E-mail: whardy@wne.uw.edu.pl