

Green Innovation and Economic Growth

in a North-South Model

Jan Witajewski-Baltvilks* and Carolyn Fischer**

* Institute for Structural Research, Warsaw ** Resources for the Future, Washington

- Low-cost green technologies necessary for decoupling economic growth from carbon emissions growth
- Motivates green research subsidies in regions with ambitious environmental policies reduction targets ('North')
- Research Question: under what conditions switch to green technologies in North can induce similar switch in South.
- Policy Relevance: power of unilateral actions

Previous studies:

- South imitates green technologies from North (Acemoglu et al. 2014)
- by green R&D North could remove the comparative adventage of South in polluting good (Hemous, 2016)
- North can avoid environmental disaster by shifting comparative advantage of South from energy to manufacturing (Ravetti, 2016)

This article:

- Trade of technological goods
- South and North technologies compete with each other

- Setup based on Grossman and Helpman (1992) and Aghion and Howitt (1992)
- Successful innovation:
 - allows innovator to capture ('steal') a market
 - increases the value of the market
- Then, many competing innovators implies:
 - shorter time interval of expected profit flow
 - $\bullet\,$ value of the market grows fast => high expected profit per unit of time

Production

. . .

Final good is produced from clean and dirty intermediate goods

$$Y_t = \left(Y_{ct}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} + Y_{dt}^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

Intermediate good $j \in (c, d)$ is produced using

- labour purchased at price w
- resources R_j (at price c_j)
- and a composite of specialized machines $\ln X_{jt} = \int \ln (A_{jit} Z_{jit}) di (Z_{jit} \text{ at price } p_{ijt})$

$$Y_{jt} = R_{jt}^{\alpha_2} L_{jt}^{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2} X_{jt}^{\alpha_1}$$

Machines

- Machines produced by firms with best available technology
- An innovation improves quality (A_{jit}) by factor $(1 + \gamma)$, thus allows to replace the incumbant
- $n_c^{North} + n_c^{South}$ innovators in the clean sector
- Poisson arrival of innovations =>
- time between two successive innovations is random (distribution: exponential $(\lambda (n^N, n^S)))$

- Value of an innovation: $v_t = \int \pi e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} d\tau = \frac{\pi}{\lambda}$
- $\pi_c \sim share_{clean} \sim A_{cit} \sim e^{\gamma \left(n_c^N + n_c^S
 ight) t}$
- $\lambda \sim n_c^N + n_c^S$

lf

- all North researchers switched to clean technologes
- number of researchers in South is smaller than the number of researchers in North then, in the long run all Southern researchers switch to green technologies

Lock-in

. . :

• Assume $n^N < n^S$

(i) Consider Balanced Growth Path (BGP) with $n_c^N = n^N$ and $n_d^S = n^S$

- $\pi_{clean} \sim share_{clean} \sim A_{cit} \sim e^{n^N t}$
- $\pi_{dirty} \sim share_{dirty} \sim A_{dit} \sim e^{n^{S}t}$
- South stays forever in dirty
- Long run economic growth = growth of dirty sector $\sim n^S$

(ii) If South researchers coordinate and $n_c^S = n^S$, then

• Long run economic growth = growth of clean sector $\sim n^S + n^N$



Long-run econ. growth in South:	$g^S \sim n^N + n^S$	$g^S \sim n^S$	$g^{S} \sim n^{S}$	$g^S \sim n^N + n^S$
Long-run econ. growth in North:	$g^N \sim n^N + n^S$	$g^N \sim n^N$	$g^N \sim n^N$	$g^{\rm N} \sim n^{\rm N} + n^{\rm S}$
Emissions growth:	$g_{Co2} = 0$	$g_{Co2} \sim n^N$	$g_{Co2} \sim n^N$	$g_{Co2} \sim n^N + n^S$



 $g^S \sim n^S$

 $g^S \sim n^N + n^S$

Long-run econ. growth in South:

 $g^S \sim n^S$

 $g^S \sim n^N + n^S$





Stackelber game				. I
		Νοι	rth	
	research in o	lean	researc	h in dirty
	Sout	:h	So	buth
research in	clean	in dirty		in dirty
	S N . S	2 2	2 2	S N S
Long-run econ. growth in South:	$g^S \sim n^N + n^S$	$g^S \sim n^S$	$g^S \sim n^S$	$g^S \sim n^N + n^S$
Long-run econ. growth in North:	$g^N \sim n^N + n^S$	$g^N \sim n^N$	$g^N \sim n^N$	0
Emissions growth:	$g_{Co2} = 0$	$g_{Co2} \sim n^N$	$g_{Co2} \sim n^N$	$g_{Co2} \sim n^N + n^S$

Stackelber game				. I	
		No	rth		
	research in o	clean	researc	h in dirty	
South		South			
research in	clean	in dirty		in dirty	
				1	
Long-run econ. growth in South:	$g^S \sim n^N + n^S$			$g^S \sim n^N + n^S$	
Long-run econ. growth in North:	$g^N \sim n^N + n^S$			$g^N \sim n^N + n^S$	
Emissions growth:	$g_{Co2} = 0$	$g_{Co2} \sim n^N$			

Conclusions

- If North R&D sector is large enough, its switch from dirty to clean technologies will induce a similar switch of the South R&D sector in the long-run
- If North R&D sector is not large enough, South might not follow
- In such case the two groups of inventors work on two substitutable technologies
- To ensure fast long-run growth, South government would incentivise Southern researchers to work on the same technologies as the North.
- Given this strategy of South, North should committ to going green.

. . :

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Horizon2020 under Grant Agreement No 642260



The consortium: Uni Sussex, BC3, Cambridge Econometrics, ECN Netherlands, ETH Zurich, IBS, JIN, NTUA, SEI, Uni Graz, UPRC, Uni Chile



THANK YOU

jan.witajewski@ibs.org.pl